IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ASTELLAS PHARMA INC., ASTELLAS IRELAND CO., LTD., and ASTELLAS PHARMA GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT, INC.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civ. No. 16-905-SLR
ACTAVIS ELIZABETH and ACTAVIS LLC,	)	
Defendants.	)	

ASTELLAS PHARMA INC., ASTELLAS IRELAND CO., LTD., and ASTELLAS PHARMA GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT, INC.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civ. No. 16-908-SLR
LUPIN LTD. and LUPIN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

ASTELLAS PHARMA INC., ASTELLAS IRELAND CO., LTD., and ASTELLAS PHARMA GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT, INC.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civ. No. 16-924-SLR
ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS (USA), INC. and CADILA HEALTHCARE LTD.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

ASTELLAS PHARMA INC., ASTELLAS IRELAND CO., LTD., and ASTELLAS PHARMA GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT, INC.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civ. No. 16-930-SLR
WINDLAS HEALTHCARE PVT. LTD. and WINDLAS BIOTECH LTD.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

ASTELLAS PHARMA INC., ASTELLAS IRELAND CO., LTD., and ASTELLAS PHARMA GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT, INC.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civ. No. 16-942-SLR
AUROBINDO PHARMA LTD., AUROBINDO PHARMA USA, INC. and AUROLIFE PHARMA LLC,	)	
Defendants.	)	

ASTELLAS PHARMA INC., ASTELLAS IRELAND CO., LTD., and ASTELLAS PHARMA GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT, INC.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civ. No. 16-943-SLR
PRINSTON PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.,	)	
Defendant.	)	

ASTELLAS PHARMA INC., ASTELLAS IRELAND CO., LTD., and ASTELLAS PHARMA GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v. Civ. No. 16-952-SLR

SANDOZ INC.,

Defendant.

ASTELLAS PHARMA INC., ASTELLAS IRELAND CO., LTD., and ASTELLAS PHARMA GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v. Civ. No. 16-954-SLR

SAWAI PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD. and SAWAI USA, INC.,

Defendants.

ASTELLAS PHARMA INC., ASTELLAS IRELAND CO., LTD., and ASTELLAS PHARMA GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v. Civ. No. 16-976-SLR

APOTEX INC. and APOTEX CORP.,

Defendants.

PATENT CASE ORDER FOR SCHEDULING

At Wilmington this 6th day of January, 2017;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. An in-person scheduling conference shall be conducted by the court on **Wednesday, February 22, 2017 at 4:00 p.m.** in courtroom 4B, fourth floor, United States Courthouse, 844 King Street, Wilmington, Delaware.[1]

2. Prior to the scheduling conference, counsel shall confer pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), and shall discuss verbally (in addition to the issues identified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)) the scope of discovery in terms of the following:[2]

(a) The information plaintiff needs in order to identify accused products and prioritize the asserted patents, claims, and limitations.[3]

(b) The information defendant needs in order to identify potential defenses and prioritize the prior art references and claim limitations.[4]

3. Absent agreement of the parties, the court's Default Standard for Discovery, including Discovery of Electronically Stored Information ("ESI") shall govern the discovery of paper and electronic documents. Similarly, absent agreement of the parties, the court's Default Standard for Access to Source Code shall govern.

4. At least 24 hours prior to the scheduling conference, counsel shall submit a proposed case management order to the court, which order shall conform to that form

---

[1]If the parties have no issues to discuss (other than dates), the parties may request a telephonic scheduling conference.

[2]The court recognizes that the scope of discovery in ANDA cases is largely determined by the contents of the Paragraph IV letter.

[3]For instance, core technical information and sales figures for the accused product(s).

[4]For instance, the accused functionality/product(s) and related damages model.

of order found on the court's website at www.ded.uscourts.gov.

5. The parties shall be represented at the scheduling conference by counsel who shall have full authority to bind their clients in all pretrial matters.

6. If any party hereafter enters an appearance, counsel for plaintiff shall notify said party of the above conference and forward to that party a copy of this order.

7. The parties shall advise the court immediately if this matter has been settled or terminated so that the above conference may be canceled.

8. Counsel are further advised that communications to the court by FAX will not be accepted.

United States District Judge